## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

IN RE: PARAGARD PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	: MDL DOCKET NO. 2974 :
This document relates to: CAROLINE THOMAS	: : 1:20-md-02974-LMM :
vs.	: Civil Action No
TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC., ET AL.	: : :
SHORT	FORM COMPLAINT
Come(s) now the Plaintiff(s) n	amed below, and for her/their Complaint against the
Defendant(s) named below, incorporate(s	s) the Second Amended Master Personal Injury Complain
(Doc No. 79), in MDL No. 2974 by refere	ence. Plaintiff(s) further plead(s) as follows:
1. Name of Plaintiff placed v	vith Paragard: <u>CAROLINE THOMAS</u>
2. Name of Plaintiff's Spouse	e (if a party to the case): <u>N/A</u>
	resentative capacity, Name of Other Plaintiff and capacity
	or, guardian, conservator): N/A
	Plaintiff (including any Plaintiff in a representative
1 ,,	of Plaintiff's original complaint: <u>CALIFORNIA</u>
5. State of Residence of each	Plaintiff at the time of Paragard placement:
<u>CALIFORNIA</u>	
6. State of Residence of each	Plaintiff at the time of Paragard removal: <u>CALIFORNIA</u>
7. District Court and Division	n in which personal jurisdiction and venue would be proper
United States District Cou	rt for the Central District of California

- 8. Defendants. (Check one or more of the following five (5) defendants against whom Plaintiff's Complaint is made. The following five (5) Defendants are the only Defendants against whom a Short Form Complaint may be filed. No other entity may be added as a Defendant in a Short Form Complaint.):
- ☐ A. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.
- 図 B. Teva Women's Health, LLC
- ☐ C. Teva Branded Pharmaceutical Products R&D, Inc.
- ☑ D. The Cooper Companies, Inc.
- ⊠ E. CooperSurgical, Inc.
- 9. Basis of Jurisdiction
- Diversity of Citizenship (28 U.S.C. § 1332(a))
- ☐ Other (if Other, identify below)

10.

Date(s) Plaintiff had	Placing Physicians(s) or other	Date Plaintiff's	Removal Physician(s)
Paragard placed	Health Care Provider (include	Paragard was	or other Health Care
(DD/MM/YYYY)	City and State)	Removed	Provided (include City
		(DD/MM/YYYY)*	and State)**
		*If multiple removals	**If multiple
		or attempted removal	removal(s) or
		procedures, list date	attempted removal
		of each separately.	procedures, list
			information separately.
5/1/2006	Planned Parenthood	12/8/2016	Dr. Joel Sarachek
			Santa Monica, CA
	Thousand Oak, CA		
		1/6/2017	Dr. Michael T.
			Johnson
			Los Angeles, CA
1/6/2017	Dr. Michael T. Johnson	10/2020	Dr. Michelle Cabrera
	Los Angeles, CA		Pasadena, CA

11.	Plaintiff alleges breakage (other than thread or string breakage) of her Paragar		
	removal.		
	Yes No		
12.	Brief statement of injury(ies) Plaintiff is claiming:		
	As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, I	Plaintiff suffered and	
	continues to suffer significant bodily and mental injuries, include	ling but not limited to	
	pain and suffering, mental anguish, disfigurement, embarrassmen	nt, inconvenience, loss	
	of earnings, loss of reproductive health and past and potential fut	ture medical expenses.	
	Plaintiff reserves her right to allege additional injuries and con	nplications specific to	
	her.		
13.	Product Identification:		
	a. Lot Number of Paragard placed in Plaintiff (if now know	wn): <u>Unknown</u>	
	b. Did you obtain your Paragard from anyone other than t	he Healthcare	
	Provider who placed your Paragard:		
	□ Yes ⊠ No		
14.	Counts in the Master Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s):		
$\boxtimes$	Count I – Strict Liability / Design Defect		
$\boxtimes$	Count II – Strict Liability / Failure to Warn		
$\boxtimes$	Count III – Strict Liability / Manufacturing Defect		
$\boxtimes$	Count IV – Negligence		
$\boxtimes$	Count V – Negligence / Design and Manufacturing Defect		

$\boxtimes$	Count	t VI – Negligence / Failure to Warn			
$\boxtimes$	Count VII – Negligent Misrepresentation				
$\boxtimes$	Count VIII – Breach of Express Warranty				
$\boxtimes$	Count IX – Breach of Implied Warranty				
$\boxtimes$	Count X – Violation of Consumer Protection Laws				
$\boxtimes$	Count XI – Gross Negligence				
$\boxtimes$	Count XII – Unjust Enrichment				
$\boxtimes$	Count XIII – Punitive Damages				
	Count XIV – Loss of Consortium				
	Other	Count(s) (Please state factual and legal basis for other claims not included in			
the M	Iaster Co	omplaint below):			
15.	"Tolli	ng/Fraudulent Concealment" allegations:			
	a.	Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"?			
		Yes No			
	b.	If Plaintiff is alleging "tolling/fraudulent concealment" beyond the facts			
		alleged in the Master Complaint, please state the facts and legal basis			
		applicable to the Plaintiff in support of those allegations below:			
	Prior	to having the ParaGard IUD implanted, Plaintiff's healthcare providers told her			
	the Pa	araGard IUD was safe, effective, reliable, and that it could be easily removed.			

<u>IUD.</u>	She did 1	not know there was an issue with the ParaGard IUD. She contacted her
<u>lawye</u>	rs after le	earning she might have a claim.
Coun	t VII (Fra	aud & Deceit) and County VIII (Fraud by Omission) allegations:
a.	Is Plair	ntiff bringing a claim under Count VII (Fraud & Deceit), Count VIII
	(Fraud	by Omission), and/or any other claim for fraud or misrepresentation?
	Yes No	
b.	If Yes	, the following information must be provided (in accordance with
	Federa	l Rule of Civil Procedure 8 and/or 9, and/or with pleading requirements
	applica	ble to Plaintiff's state law claims):
	i.	The alleged statement(s) of material fact that Plaintiff alleges was false:
		Paragard, a reversible form of birth control, was safe and effective.
		Paragard was safe and/or safer than other reversible birth control
		products on the market.
	ii.	Who allegedly made the statement: <u>Defendants</u>
	iii.	To whom the statement was allegedly made: Plaintiff and her
		healthcare provider who implanted Paragard.
	iv.	The date(s) on which the statement was made: <u>Defendants' statements</u>
		are within the Paragard label and marketing materials at all relevant
		times prior to implantation.

those contained in the Master Complaint, the following information must be provided:

## Case 1:23-mi-99999-UNA Document 814 Filed 03/15/23 Page 6 of 6

	a. What does Plaintiff allege is the manufacturing defect in her Paragard? N/A
18.	Plaintiff's demand for the relief sought if different than what is alleged in the Master
	Complaint: N/A
19.	Jury Demand:
$\boxtimes$	Jury Trial is demanded as to all counts
	Jury Trial is NOT demanded as to any count
	<u>/s/ Laura V. Yaeger</u> Attorney(s) for Plaintiff

Address, phone number, email address and Bar information:

Yaeger Law, PLLC
P.O. Box 530338
Saint Petersburg, FL 33747
727-202-5015
laura@yourlegalcounsel.net
FL Bar# 101972
TX Bar# 24011432